

the gentleman from New York, Mr. Fitzgerald, who had asked the gentleman to yield to him for an amendment, is entitled to the first recognition."

Because the vote today may look bad for the Republican majority they will say "the vote on the previous question is simply a vote on whether to proceed to an immediate vote on adopting the resolution \* \* \* [and] has no substantive legislative or policy implications whatsoever." But that is not what they have always said. Listen to the Republican Leadership Manual on the Legislative Process in the United States House of Representatives, (6th edition, page 135). Here's how the Republicans describe the previous question vote in their own manual: Although it is generally not possible to amend the rule because the majority Member controlling the time will not yield for the purpose of offering an amendment, the same result may be achieved by voting down the previous question on the rule \* \* \* When the motion for the previous question is defeated, control of the time passes to the Member who led the opposition to ordering the previous question. That Member, because he then controls the time, may offer an amendment to the rule, or yield for the purpose of amendment."

Deschler's Procedure in the U.S. House of Representatives, the subchapter titled "Amending Special Rules" states: "a refusal to order the previous question on such a rule [a special rule reported from the Committee on Rules] opens the resolution to amendment and further debate." (Chapter 21, section 21.2) Section 21.3 continues: Upon rejection of the motion for the previous question on a resolution reported from the Committee on Rules, control shifts to the Member leading the opposition to the previous question, who may offer a proper amendment or motion and who controls the time for debate thereon."

Clearly, the vote on the previous question on a rule does have substantive policy implications. It is one of the only available tools for those who oppose the Republican majority's agenda to offer an alternative plan.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

#### PROVIDING FOR AN ADJOURNMENT OR RECESS OF THE TWO HOUSES

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, I offer a privileged concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 440) and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the concurrent resolution, as follows:

H. CON. RES. 440

*Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That when the House adjourns on the legislative day of Thursday, June 29, 2006, or Friday, June 30, 2006, on a motion offered pursuant to this concurrent resolution by its Majority Leader or his designee, it stand adjourned until 2 p.m. on*

Monday, July 10, 2006, or until the time of any reassembly pursuant to section 2 of this concurrent resolution, whichever occurs first; and that when the Senate recesses or adjourns on Thursday, June 29, 2006, Friday, June 30, 2006, or Saturday, July 1, 2006, on a motion offered pursuant to this concurrent resolution by its Majority Leader or his designee, it stand recessed or adjourned until noon on Monday, July 10, 2006, or such other time on that day as may be specified by its Majority Leader or his designee in the motion to recess or adjourn, or until the time of any reassembly pursuant to section 2 of this concurrent resolution, whichever occurs first.

SEC. 2. The Speaker of the House and the Majority Leader of the Senate, or their respective designees, acting jointly after consultation with the Minority Leader of the House and the Minority Leader of the Senate, shall notify the Members of the House and the Senate, respectively, to reassemble at such place and time as they may designate if, in their opinion, the public interest shall warrant it.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the concurrent resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

#### ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

Ordering the previous question on H. Res. 896, by the yeas and nays; adoption of H. Res. 896, if ordered; ordering the previous question on H. Res. 897, by the yeas and nays; adoption of H. Res. 897, if ordered; adoption of H. Con. Res. 440, by the yeas and nays.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

#### PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H. RES. 895, SUPPORTING INTELLIGENCE AND LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS TO TRACK TERRORISTS AND TERRORIST FINANCES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the vote on ordering the previous question on House Resolution 896, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk will read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 222, nays 193, not voting 18, as follows:

[Roll No. 350]

YEAS—222

Aderholt	Goode	Osborne
Akin	Goodlatte	Otter
Alexander	Granger	Oxley
Bachus	Graves	Paul
Baker	Green (WI)	Pearce
Barrett (SC)	Gutknecht	Pence
Bartlett (MD)	Hall	Peterson (PA)
Barton (TX)	Harris	Petri
Bass	Hart	Pickering
Beauprez	Hastert	Pitts
Biggart	Hastings (WA)	Platts
Billbray	Hayworth	Poe
Bilirakis	Hefley	Pombo
Blackburn	Hensarling	Porter
Blunt	Herger	Price (GA)
Boehler	Hobson	Pryce (OH)
Boehner	Hoekstra	Putnam
Bonilla	Hostettler	Radanovich
Bonner	Hulshof	Ramstad
Bono	Hunter	Regula
Boozman	Hyde	Rehberg
Boustany	Inglis (SC)	Reichert
Bradley (NH)	Issa	Renzi
Brady (TX)	Istook	Reynolds
Brown (SC)	Jenkins	Rogers (AL)
Brown-Waite,	Jindal	Rogers (KY)
Ginny	Johnson (CT)	Rogers (MI)
Burgess	Johnson (IL)	Rohrabacher
Burton (IN)	Jones (NC)	Ros-Lehtinen
Calvert	Keller	Royce
Camp (MI)	Kelly	Ryan (WI)
Campbell (CA)	Kennedy (MN)	Ryun (KS)
Cantor	King (IA)	Saxton
Capito	King (NY)	Schmidt
Carter	Kingston	Schwarz (MI)
Castle	Kirk	Sensenbrenner
Chabot	Kline	Sessions
Chocoma	Knollenberg	Shadegg
Coble	Kolbe	Shaw
Cole (OK)	Kuhl (NY)	Shays
Conaway	LaHood	Shimkus
Crenshaw	Latham	Shuster
Cubin	LaTourette	Simmons
Davis (KY)	Leach	Simpson
Davis, Jo Ann	Lewis (CA)	Smith (NJ)
Davis, Tom	Lewis (KY)	Smith (TX)
Deal (GA)	Linder	Sodrel
Dent	LoBiondo	Souder
Diaz-Balart, L.	Lucas	Stearns
Diaz-Balart, M.	Lungren, Daniel	Sullivan
Doolittle	E.	Sweeney
Drake	Mack	Tancred
Dreier	Manzullo	Taylor (NC)
Duncan	Marchant	Terry
Ehlers	McCauley (TX)	Thomas
Emerson	McCotter	Thornberry
English (PA)	McCrery	Tiahrt
Everett	McHugh	Tiberi
Feeney	McKeon	Turner
Ferguson	McMorris	Upton
Flake	Melancon	Walden (OR)
Foley	Mica	Walsh
Forbes	Miller (FL)	Wamp
Fortenberry	Miller (MI)	Weldon (FL)
Fossella	Miller, Gary	Weldon (PA)
Fox	Moran (KS)	Weller
Franks (AZ)	Murphy	Westmoreland
Frelinghuysen	Musgrave	Whitfield
Gallely	Myrick	Wicker
Garrett (NJ)	Neugebauer	Wilson (NM)
Gibbons	Ney	Wilson (SC)
Gilchrest	Northup	Wolf
Gillmor	Norwood	Young (AK)
Gingrey	Nunes	Young (FL)
Gohmert	Nussle	

NAYS—193

Abercrombie	Boyd	Crowley
Ackerman	Brady (PA)	Cuellar
Allen	Brown (OH)	Cummings
Andrews	Brown, Corrine	Davis (AL)
Baca	Butterfield	Davis (CA)
Baird	Capps	Davis (FL)
Baldwin	Capuano	Davis (IL)
Barrow	Cardoza	Davis (TN)
Bean	Carnahan	DeFazio
Becerra	Case	DeGette
Berkley	Chandler	Delahunt
Berman	Clay	DeLauro
Berry	Cleaver	Dicks
Bishop (GA)	Clyburn	Dingell
Bishop (NY)	Conyers	Doggett
Blumenauer	Cooper	Doyle
Boren	Costa	Edwards
Boswell	Costello	Emanuel
Boucher	Cramer	Engel